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From the 15th century onwards, a number of Armenian texts were recorded in the Syriac script in Upper Mesopotamia and Greater Armenia, areas inhabited by Armenians and Syrian Christians. All the examples found until now are written in Serto, the Western Syriac script (used by the Syrian Orthodox Church and the Maronites). At the same time, the opposite phenomenon, Syriac in the Armenian script, was quite rare. The use of Armenian Garshuni is closely connected with the emergence of the Armenian-speaking Syrian Orthodox communities. In this short article I am going to discuss why Syrian Christian communities, living in a multinational and multilingual environment and using Classical Syriac as their liturgical and literary language, felt it was important to create the trilingual dictionary (MS Cairo Syriac 11, see fig. 1) and many other Armenian Garshuni manuscripts.

The monastery of Mor Abhay was one of the most important centers for the production of Armenian Garshuni texts. From the 15th century on, the monastery became a spiritual center for the Syrian Orthodox community of Malatya. The monastery existed until early 18th century. It was in this center that Armenian Garshuni manuscripts were produced for the Armenian-speaking members of the Syrian Orthodox Church. There are unique cases of Armenian Garshuni texts even from the 20th century.

2 Արմենական Հայեր, Հայերի մարդկոնք և հայերի լեզուներ, «Հայերի» հետազոտություններ [Arman Hakobyan, Introduction to Aramaic and Syriac Studies], Yerevan, VMV-print, 2015, p. 540.
Until recent years, little attention was paid to garshunography in general and to Armenian Garshuni in particular. Being a part of the world history, it deserves to be studied before we can make assumptions or conclusions.

Among other Armenian Garshuni manuscripts, there are three Syriac-Armenian and Syriac-Arabic-Armenian Garshuni manuscript dictionaries known to us, which are kept in library collections in different parts of the world. All of them are from the 17th century: MS Harvard Syriac 54, MS Yale Syriac 9, presently in the United States, and MS Syriac 11, which is the focus of this paper.

Ms. Syriac 11, kept in the library of the Franciscan Center for Christian Oriental Studies in Cairo, is a 17th-century Garshuni manuscript dictionary written in the Syriac Serto script. The dictionary is trilingual, containing columns of Syriac, Arabic and Armenian words. There are two types of Garshuni in this manuscript: Arabic Garshuni (Arabic written in the Syriac script) and Armenian Garshuni (Armenian written in the Syriac script). It was written by a scribe from the Mesopotamian city of Gargar (Gerger). The dictionary consists of the topically classified Syriac-Arabic Garshuni glossary of The Book of the Interpreter by Eliya of Nisibis (975–1046), to which columns of Armenian words in Garshuni were added. Eliya was an Eastern Syrian scholar and monk, early grammarian and an important figure in Syriac and Christian Arabic literature.

The Armenian words of the manuscript are difficult to understand mostly because they comprise a relatively high number of loanwords from Arabic, Persian and Turkish. The Armenian words in the dictionary

---


5 The colophon of the manuscript reads: “This book was completed in the year 1977 of the Greek calendar (1665/6), in the protected city of Amid, by deacon Malkeh, son of the priest Nīqūdīmūs, from town Gargar the rural village of Vank, namely Dayr (Monastery) of Abū Ghālib, and the deacon who wrote this book is a student of the lowly among the high-priests patriarch Shuqr Allah from the city of Mardin from the village of Dayr Heliya, son of Rabbān Nīʿma nicknamed Ibn al-Dabbāgh, who is resting in the abundance of light, glory and honor to the Lord forever, Amen.”
are close to the dialects spoken in the territories of Malatya, Diyarbakir and Urfa.

The Ottoman population register suggests that in the 16th century the area of Malatya and Gargar, from where the scribe of Cairo Syriac 11 comes, had altogether 19450 family households, out of which 17810 were Muslim and 1640 were non-Muslim. It does not specify which groups were part of the non-Muslims, but they were mostly Armenians and presumably also Syrian Christians. Furthermore, there were another 2715 unmarried Muslims and 44 unmarried non-Muslims. Finally, there were 208 Kurdish and Yuruk (Turkmen) nomad households.  

Cairo Syriac 11 was copied in Diyarbakır (Amid). Accounts of travellers from the 17th century give the modern scholars the demographic picture of Diyarbakır in 1660, when Cairo Syriac 11 was copied.

Situated on the west bank of the Tigris River, Diyarbakır is one of the oldest continually inhabited cities in the world. Because of its strategic position (both commercial and military), Amid had a mixed population, representing nearly every ethnic and religious group in the area. More about the 17th century Diyarbakır is known from Evliya Çelebi's Seyahatname (“Book of Travel”). In the past, Diyarbakır had been part of Greater Armenia, and in the 17th century, most of the peasants and craftsmen in the province were Armenians. Although they did not form a majority, there was a substantial Armenian population in the region. It was a multinational and heterogeneous province, with the Armenians, Kurds, Syrian Christians, Arabs, Turks, Persians, Jewish minorities, both nomads and sedentary, living side by side. Arabic, Turkish, Persian, Kurdish, Armenian, and even the Iranian archaic language Zaza that in Seyahatname Evliya describes as one of the Kurdish dialects, were spoken there. In the city of Diyarbakır and in other surrounding towns there was a significant number of native Turkish speakers.

In the 1520s, the population of the Diyarbakır province was 415,420.

---

7 Evliya Çelebi was an Ottoman Turk who travelled through the territory of the Ottoman Empire and its neighboring lands over a period of forty years and wrote about the places he visited in a travelogue called the Seyahatname.
9 Martin van Bruinessen and Hendrik Boeschoten, Evliya Çelebi in Diyarbekir, Leiden, Brill, 1988, p. 29.
85% of them were Muslims, 14.5% Christians and 0.2% Jews. In around 1560, the population of the city of Diyarbakir was around 50,000.\textsuperscript{10}

The creeds were as diverse as the population itself. Most of the Muslims were Kurdish. Hanafi Muslims, Shafis, Alevies, Shi’ites were popular denominations in the province. Celebi did not write much about the Christians and the various Christian groups. He talks about the Armenians, whom he describes as peasants and blacksmiths, the West Syrians and smaller Nestorian communities. Diyarbakir was even the seat of the West Syrian patriarch. There could have been other groups as well, such as Greek Orthodox and Jewish minorities.

According to the account of another 17\textsuperscript{th} century traveler, Simeon Lehac’i (of Poland), all the bakers, butchers, soap and kebab-sellers in Diyarbakir were Armenian, while the silver and goldsmiths were West Syrian.\textsuperscript{11} He also mentions two Armenian churches, Surb Kirakos and Surb Sargis, both large and glorious, with gates, an episcopate and a school. The Armenians had their separate cemetery. He also talks about one thousand rich and gorgeous Armenian households. There was also a major Syrian Christian church called Maryam, the seat of the patriarch.\textsuperscript{12}

To the above mentioned list of languages van Bruinessen and Boeschoten add different Aramaic dialects spoken by the West Syrians and Nestorian Christians, who were considered Arabic speakers or Armenians by Ottoman authors.\textsuperscript{13} Celebi mentions that most of the Arabic speakers were Syrian merchants; in fact, the West Syrian Christians may have spoken Arabic rather than Aramaic. There is no doubt that there were Syrian Christians in the province, but it is questionable to what extent the Aramaic dialects were spoken by them.

The analysis of the marginal notes of MS Cairo Syriac 11 confirms many of the above discussed realities about the different peoples living in the region and the diverse languages spoken by them. At the same time, it raises the question to what extent Syriac was spoken in the Syrian Christian communities. Analyzing the margins would help the attempt of reconstructing the distribution and the state of the languages in the region.

At first glance, one might suppose that the dictionary created by

\textsuperscript{10} Ibid., p. 33.
\textsuperscript{11} Simeon of Poland visited Diyarbakir 42 years earlier than Evliya.
\textsuperscript{12} \textit{Սիմեոն Լեհաչ’ի, Տրավել Նոթս, Հիստորիաների և Ամնունութեսքների [Simeon Lehac’i, Travel Notes, Chronicle / Annals and Memoirs]}, ed. N. Akinean, Vienna, 1936, p. 204-209.
\textsuperscript{13} Martin van Bruinessen and Hendrik Boeschoten, \textit{op. cit.}, p. 290.
adding Armenian equivalents to the well-known Syriac-Arabic lexicon was made in order to teach Syrian Christians the Armenian language. However, a detailed study of the margins of the manuscript reveals that the main intention of the scribe was to teach Classical Syriac not only to the Arabic-speaking but also to the Armenian-speaking Christians. In fact, there was a great number of Armenians and Syrian Christians in the region that belonged to the same ecclesiastic jurisdiction: the Syrian Orthodox Church. This resulted in the emergence of the Armenian-speaking Syrian Christian communities, for whom the trilingual manuscript was created to enable the practice of Classical Syriac, merely a language of liturgy at the time. This can be proven by examples given below.

On page 29 of the original dictionary, one finds the expression ܟܿܦܼܝܼܦܼܢܚܝܼܪܐ = curved-nosed. As an addition, the author of the marginal notes added other adjectives, formed by the same rule, from the word “nose”, such as ܐܰܪܝܼܟܳܢܚܝܼܪܐ = long-nosed, ܩܼܿܛܳܝܼܢܳܨܳܚܝܼܪܐ = thin-nosed, ܟܳܢܳܨܳܚܝܼܪܬܳܐ = thick-nosed. On page 31, one finds adjectives formed from the word head, such as ܪܨܝܼܨܪܝܼܫܐ = [one] with a bruised head, ܪܣܼܝܼܣܪܼܝܼܫܐ = [one] with a moistened head, apparently to teach the difference between similarly sounding words using the letters semkhat or ṣode respectively. One also finds there the expression ܝܒܳܝܼܫܳܪܳܝܼܫܐ = [one] with a dry head.

The manuscript is full of such examples. These and other cases where the Syriac word figures in different combinations show that the dictionary was used to teach and to practice Classical Syriac.

The current research is the first step towards understanding and revealing the real picture of the diverse environment in which the manuscript was created, as well as towards reconstructing the distribution and the geographical scope of the languages in the region. I hope that my research will encourage and support further inquiry into the field of Armenian Garshuni studies, as there still remains a lot of unstudied manuscript material.
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Каирская рукопись (№ 11 из коллекции сирийских рукописей Францисканского Центра Християнского Востоковедения) — трехъязычный словарь, написанный в XVII веке сирийским письмом “серто”. Словарь содержит столбицы сирийских, арабских и армянских слов и был сформирован на основе известного сиро-арabicского словаря Илии Нисибинского (род. в 975 г.), к которому добавлены столбицы с армянскими словами. В рукописи находим два типа гаршуни: арабский гаршуни (аррабский текст, писанный сирийским пись-
мом) и армянский гаршуни (армянский текст, писанный сирийским письмом).

Армянская часть рукописи наиболее трудна для расшифровки, поскольку включает в себя большое количество слов, заимствованных из арабского, персидского и турецкого. Маргинии помогают воссоздать контекст, в котором армянский язык был представлен сирийском письмом, а не армянским алфавитом. Факты свидетельствуют, что рукопись была написана для армяноязычной сирийской общины с целью обучения сирийскому языку с помощью армянского, так как в этот период сирийский был лишь языком литurgии.

Моё исследование – лишь первый шаг на пути к пониманию и выявлению реальной картины той пестрой среды, в которой создавалась рукопись. Существует еще много неисследованных рукописей, писанных армянским гаршуни. Для воссоздания реальной картины необходимо собрать и исследовать все имеющийся материалы.